IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO.27100 of 1997

Between:

Miss. Indrani, D/o Vijaya Raghavan,
R/o 316, Lingapoorn La Building, Complex, Himayath Nagar, Hyd-29.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Union Of India,
Rep, by its General Manager, Telecommunications, Hyderabad

District, Surya Lok Complex, Hyderabad.
2 The Area Manager (Central), O/o The General Manager, Telecom.,
Suryalok Complex, Hyderabad-33.
3 The Divisional Engineer, Saifabad, Telephone Exchange,
Saifabad, Hyd-4.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction, directing the respondents to cancel the demand notice No.Inv/23150/Ref, dt.7-10-97 issued by the 1st and 2nd respondents in respect of disputed closed telephone No.231501.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.J.L.BABU (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.T.RAMAKRISHNA RAO

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Questioning the action of the respondents in issuing the demand notice No.Inv/231501/Ref, dated 07.10.1997 demanding the petitioner to pay an amount of Rs.17,090/- on or before 20.10.1997 in respect of disputed closed Telephone No.231501, the present writ petition is filed.

When the matter is taken up today for hearing, none appears for the petitioner. Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that while admitting the writ petition on 20.10.1997 this Court directed the petitioner to deposit the amount as per the impugned demand notice dated 07.10.1997 in two equal instalments, the first of which shall be paid by the petitioner within two weeks from the date of order and the second instalment within four weeks thereafter, and that the said order is not complied with by the petitioner so far.

Since the petitioner failed to comply with the orders passed by this Court, I see no reasons to entertain the writ petition and the same is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 29.03.2005

Nsr

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The General Manager, Telecommunications, Union Of India,
Hyderabad District, Surya Lok Complex, Hyderabad.
2 The Area Manager (Central), O/o The General Manager, Telecom.,
Suryalok Complex, Hyderabad-33.
3 The Divisional Engineer, Saifabad, Telephone Exchange,
Saifabad, Hyd-4.

4 Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**TSSB**}*